

URBANA CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR SESSION MINUTES – MAY 24, 2011

The Urbana City Council Regular Session Meeting of Tuesday, May 24, 2011, was called to order by **Council President Marty Hess** at 7:00PM, in Court Chambers/The Municipal Building.

Attendance: All Councilmen were present. The City Council Clerk was absent.
City Staff in Attendance: Mayor Ruth Zerkle; Mr. Bruce Evilsizor, Director of Administration; Mr. Doug Crabill, Assistant to the Director of Administration; Mr. Lee Williams, Finance Director; Mr. Mike Heintz

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Announcement

Council President Hess announced the retirement of Fire Chief Jim McIntosh and Police Chief Pat Wagner. He thanked both gentlemen for their dedication to the City of Urbana. Council President Hess additionally wished the Interim Chiefs, ‘Good Luck.’ (Matt Lingrell and Dave Torsell were in attendance at this UCC Meeting).

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Robert Thorpe moved to approve the UCC Regular Session Minutes (May 10, 2011), and UCC Work Session Minutes (May 17, 2011); Mr. Larry Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Communications

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the Urbana Shade Tree Commission Minutes (April 2011 - #94) on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the correspondence of May 15, 2011, from the Ohio Dept. of Liquor Control regarding transfer of application from Brandt Petroleum LLC, DBA Clark Food Mart, 780 Scioto St., to SIDHI LLC, DBA Clark Food Mart, 780 Scioto St., Urbana, Ohio, on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Thorpe asked if this is a change of ownership.

Council President Hess said, “I believe that’s a change of ownership license—correct?”

Mr. Bruce Evilsizor responded, “Yes—yes.”

Administrative Reports – Board of Control

1.

Mr. Steve Shaw moved to authorize a purchase order to Neptune Equipment Co. in the amount of \$6,000 for the maintenance renewal for the handheld system, software, and pocket pro-readers used by the Water Dept. (This will be charged to the Water Dept., and is in the 2011 Budget); Mr. Al Evans seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

“Is more or less than last year—or is this the same?” asked Mr. Shaw.

“It is the same,” answered Mr. Lee Williams.

“We’ve got to have it to read the meters—right?” asked Mr. Evans.

“That’s exactly what this equipment’s used for, and this is both for the software maintenance as well as the hardware...so if there’s any problems with any of the hardware equipment, we send it back and it’s remedied (no charge)...if there’s anything with the software it’s remedied via phone call...we get some very good service with this,” said Mr. Williams.

2.

Mr. Kerry Brugger moved to authorize a purchase order to Walter H. Drane Co. in the amount of \$2,994.31 for Council Codification editorial expense (This will be charged to City Council Codification, and is in the 2011 Budget); Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried with objection.

Roll call vote to approve: Mr. Thorpe, no; Mr. Bean, yes; Mr. Fields, no; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Brugger, yes; Mr. Shaw, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes (5 Yes-2 No)

Discussion –

Council President Hess asked, “What’s an average time you guys put these in? Who does it for the City—for you guys?”

Mr. Evilsizor replied, “We’re pretty much paperless, so...”

Council President Hess asked, “How many copies are in the building? Three?”

“I’m not sure...I think pretty much everybody just works on the computer on our end,” said Mr. Evilsizor.

“Mayor, you don’t have one? Wow,” said Council President Hess, also indicating that some day maybe everyone can go online to reduce cost.

3.

Mr. Thorpe moved to authorize the Director of Administration to enter into a unit price contract with J&J Schlaegel, Inc. for the 2011 unit price concrete work based on need; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Thorpe said, “These are so close that you would think they almost came together on the thing—how far apart are they? Do you know—Lee?”

Mr. Doug Crabill responded:

“There’s 18 items that 1 is low on, and 13 items that the other’s low on. We looked at this pretty closely—the bulk of the work that we do is in the 18 items. The street openings is the big one, and then a lot of (basically) repairing water and sewer digs...so street openings, curb repair, sidewalk patches...you know, sewer and works done within the right-of-way, so that’s the biggest part of the work that gets done in this contract...so that was part of the determining factor in it. When we looked at the lows in the other areas (the amount of work), it was probably maybe only 15, 20, 25% of the contract would be the other contractor...so just in the sense of looking at the overall bulk of the work, the lower amount would be the one that had the most low items in this unit price contract.”

“Was there any thought about splitting it?” asked Mr. Bill Bean.

Mr. Crabill indicated, ‘It was looked at,’ and again discussed the bulk of the work.

“If you’re talking about—maybe 15%/20% going to the other contractor—would you think the other contractor would entertain that?” Mr. Bean asked. “Just by splitting it.”

“That I don’t know—and the other thing is from Administrative process (in all the departments sorting out who we go with, and things like that) that could get complicated,” stated Mr. Crabill. “I think in the other contractors’ low numbers, there were a few areas where there were items that...that they were the low (and those would be somewhat common items), but again—it would only be probably 20% of the yearly cost of the whole contract (or 1 contract)...so we did look at the splitting it up option—it was entertained.”

Mr. Eugene Fields agreed with Mr. Thorpe, that the bids were ‘very close.’

“It was very, very competitive,” said Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Evans said, “The work that they do—Schlaegel had it last year, correct?”

“Yes,” replied Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Evans asked, “Is their work satisfactory?”

“I think so,” said Mr. Crabill.

“Would this be considered flat work?” asked Mr. Evans.

Mr. Mike Heintz answered, “The street openings certainly would be, the sidewalks would be...curb and gutter (typically) you don’t consider flat work...”

“What I’m driving at here, Mike, is—do we have (for example) when we’re raising the manholes (and repairing those), or repairing catch basins...I’m sure we have a standard...do you inspect those before they pour?” asked Mr. Evans.

“We try to—that’s the most honest answer I can give you—we try to on each one, but not always,” stated Mr. Heintz.

“I know there was one that was recently done that they were going to ask them to go back, and do differently,” Mr. Crabill added. “There are things like that that do happen.”

“That’s my...my...I’ve seen projects just driving around the City, where you see something that’s repaired and then a few months later, it’s not repaired anymore,” said Mr. Evans. “I’m wondering if we’re making sure that they’re...”

“Yeah we are...and we also talk with Colin, Bob, and Chad (and I don’t want to speak for them)...but in general, they were satisfied with the work that Schlaegel had done last year,” stated Mr. Heintz.

Mr. Brugger said, “Just...some of the same questions...as I look through...were these weighted, or did you just kind of take an average...?”

“This is a little different this year in the sense that I think last year there were 6 bidders,” said Mr. Crabill. “...and there were 30 some items, and it was twenty two...and five was the next lowest amount of items, so it was very definitive last year. We (when we put this together)...we talked about the weight idea...we did track everything that we did last year, and that’s why we’re able to say that the majority of the work is in those 18 items that were low at the end of the contract...that is based on real numbers.”

Mr. Brugger said, “Right—and just a few of these that are shifted to the other contract—I mean, some of these are in the triple digits...different...2-300% difference...something just doesn’t seem right when you’ve got a disparity of 390% one to the other.”

He verified that there were only 2 bidders.

“Right,” said Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Lokai stated:

“On page 2, I’ve got one concern...cemetery headstones...if you go to Oakdale Cemetery (and I’m going to go 2000 to 2006) and look at some of the headers, I can assure you that none of them have a 24” thick base...but I can tell. I met with a local Funeral Director, and went out to Oakdale and looked at (2 years ago, one of the headstones that was supposed to be poured), and the thickness of that and the elevation above the ground was very much different than across the street. If you look across the street in the other cemetery, you’ll see that those headstones sit on a 3” above the ground riser (which makes it easier for not only mowing, but a few other things)...but if you look at some of the headstones that were poured 2000/2006 (and our family is one of them), the rain has washed the soil away and you can see maybe (at the most) 1” thick on the edge tapered...and those headstones are sitting on 1” towards the edge, and the headstones are starting to lean just a little bit. My concern is...if we’re going to work with these contractors that we make sure that they put a deep enough foundation in there, and I

would highly recommend going across the street and seeing how they poured those...and follow that guideline.”

Mr. Crabill responded, “Prior to last year—there was no set standard on how those were supposed to be poured...and that was actually adopted last year as a City standard (and put into the City Engineering Standards...so yeah, there’s a set standard...and that’s where the 24 inches is coming from. The ones that were poured under last year’s contract were in compliance with that....so that was in response to (I believe) maybe the concern you had brought forward...that has been corrected. It actually was not in the unit price contract last year, but they did perform that work last year...so we added it in this year.”

Council President Hess read the BOC FOOTNOTE, and noted it was an emergency purchase order.

“Did someone break in? Did someone break it down?” asked Mr. Evans.

“Yes—it was probably (what) 3, maybe 4 weeks ago,” replied Mr. Evilsizor.

“Any ideas on...the culprit?” Mr. Evans asked.

“No—not right now,” said Mr. Evilsizor.

4. (This BOC Item was introduced just prior to the UCC Meeting)

Mr. Lokai moved to authorize the Administration to approve a task order (Hull Task Order #2 under Project #CUR007) and subsequent purchase order(s) to Hull & Associates Inc., in the amount of \$31,930. for tasks related to the Design Services for the Groundwater Remediation System and Water Well Rehab Project at the former Fox River Paper Mill (This expense is to be reimbursed through the CORF Grant); Mr. Thorpe seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Crabill explained:

“Quick update—they are nearing the completion of the demolition activities (in part of that contract), and the remediation work...but this overall project at the Paper Mill has a groundwater remediation project as part of it (there’s a budgeted amount within the Grant). Basically to allow the groundwater to be used for their bigger process, and so...that’s all part of the Grant...and it’s all been being finalized in the last few weeks. There was a meeting last week with Weidmann and Hull, and all of the parties involved in that...kind of narrowing this stuff down...so this is all within the grant budget (both 1 and 2)...1 is basically design services to design this groundwater system (so that’s what the first item is, and it’s all reimbursable through the Grant). The consultant just got it to me on Monday (yesterday), but I felt (since the next meeting was like June 14th before Council meets)...this is a critical path item for them to getting operations underway, and so this is important to get this approved (so that the work can continue to move forward on the groundwater). This one is basically design services for the groundwater remediation.”

Mr. Steve Shaw asked, “Doug—do you have any idea how much water they’ll be using?”

Mr. Crabill replied, “I think it’s 500 to a million gallons a day.”

“Is that even close to what Howard used, or...?” asked Mr. Shaw.

“I think they were using more than that, but I don’t know that for sure...it’s less, I believe,” stated Mr. Crabill.

“Okay, but any water that they take out of this well may help alleviate the groundwater problems before they...” Mr. Shaw began.

“Well yeah, and that’s part of the...I think the EPA got behind this idea as well...was that it’s also a way the plume...Fox River was never identified as being responsible for the VOC plume, but the plume actually does go under the sight...and so this actually could help to break down the plume by putting the treatment process in place,” interjected Mr. Crabill.

“So this could be good news,” said Mr. Shaw.

“It could help the groundwater situation (yeah) with the VOC,” Mr. Crabill stated.

5. (This BOC Item was introduced just prior to the UCC Meeting)

Mr. Shaw moved to authorize the Administration to approve a task order (Hull Task Order #3 under Project #CUR007) and subsequent purchase order(s) to Hull & Associates Inc., in the amount of \$48,950. for tasks related to additional demolition and remediation observation services, groundwater treatment system construction administration services, groundwater treatment system evaluation and selection, and groundwater treatment system observation services at the former Fox River Paper Mill (The majority of this expense is to be reimbursed through the CORF Grant. The balance of this expense of \$9,000 is match eligible, and is part of the overall grant/project budget); Mr. Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

“This is more related to that...most of these are groundwater (after the design’s complete)...actually going forward with the process being...well...there will probably be something coming back to you possibly on the...well, I’m not sure,” Mr. Crabill said.

“We’re going to have to do a change order to our construction contract for the actual installation of the groundwater system...so this is basically all the engineering after it’s designed...all the observation, all the installation...making sure it’s designed (or installed) per spec, and all of that...so that’s what (mainly) this is. It’s also additional demolition and remediation observation services (we have that right now in the current contract), and we’ve had to extend the contract a little bit longer with the budget. I hope the CORF project still allows that...”

He added, “Part of the City’s match would be the \$9,000. There’s room within our budget for match, and then the rest is CORF Grant.”

“Now (what we’re talking about)...is that actually doing the well itself?” asked Mr. Fields.

“That’s going to be have a change order to their contract to do the actual physical work...this is all relating to the engineering, and the oversight of the installation...making sure the system works as it’s designed,” said Mr. Crabill.

“I know at one time they were talking about they had to put stainless steel in,” stated Mr. Fields.

“Yeah, they’re going to be doing well rehab—that will be on the construction side,” said Mr. Crabill.

6. (This BOC Item was introduced just prior to the UCC Meeting)

Mr. Evans moved to authorize the Administration to reimburse Weidmann Electrical Technology, Inc. up to \$300,000 in CORF funds toward the purchase of electrical infrastructure; Mr. Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

“This would be part of the Grant—correct?” asked Mr. Evans.

“Correct,” said Mr. Crabill.

“...and this would be...so that Weidmann can fit the building to meet their needs electronically?” Mr. Evans sought to verify.

Mr. Crabill defined the aspect of bringing the electrical up ‘to be there for their needs.’ He explained the money involved, the open bid process, the purchase orders, and the reimbursement.

Mr. Shaw questioned whether ‘they will own this equipment,’ and whether it is ‘cheaper for them to own it, than to have DP&L provide it.’

Mr. Crabill explained that DP&L would bring the service into the site, but ‘all of this infrastructure is not something I think that...maybe Bill Kelley could address that...’

Mr. Bill Kelley (DP&L) stated:

“When a business...a large electric user has options on how power is provided to them...if they go with a primary meter (which means we bring the power to the meter, they take it from there and purchase all their own equipment...beyond that the transformers, the switches, and everything else) there is a lower rate for that.”

“What was that total grant that we got from the State?” asked Mr. Fields.

“\$3mil—yeah—and I think (too many numbers in my head) I think it’s a 25%, so I think the match brought...it’s over like a million and something,” stated Mr. Crabill. “At the end of the day, (yeah) the idea is to maximize the dollars to get the full \$3mil from the State.”

Citizen Comments

Mr. Bill Kelley (DP&L) addressed Council noting the City of Urbana ‘faired pretty well last night in that storm.’

“There were some areas southeast of here that were hit quite hard (and a lot of transmission line damage)—we had about 20,000 customers out (total), and this area was in pretty good shape compared to many others...had a few outages, but not too many,” he said.

Mr. Kelley also stated:

“I was talking with Bruce...when we decided that I should just acknowledge it with you...about a month ago (some of you may have seen an article in the newspaper) that a company called the AES Corp. has announced that they’re going to acquire DP&L (DPL Inc.) The transaction is expected to close in about 6 months. It needs a couple of approvals first (the stockholders and through the PUCO). AES is a large experienced company, with operations worldwide. The key thing for you to take away from that is that you shouldn’t expect to see any dramatic changes to do with that. DP&L will retain its name and headquarters in Dayton for at least 2 years—that doesn’t mean that after 2 years that’s going to change---as a matter of fact 10 years ago AES purchased Indianapolis Power & Light, and it is still operating out of Indianapolis with the same name. The company has been in agreement that there will be no involuntary people reductions until at least through the year 2013...so don’t expect to see any big changes out of the shoots.”

“Will that merger bring our rates down?” asked Mr. Lokai.

“Don’t know the answer to that,” stated Mr. Kelley. “The rates are approved with PUCO through 2012 (at this point), and then there will be a renegotiation of that...but I don’t know the answer to that...at this point, I’m not sure anybody does.”

Ordinances and Resolutions

Third Reading –

There were no Third Readings

Second Reading –

RESOLUTION NO. 2332 (Revised) remained TABLED

Discussion -

“Do you have an agreement with Mrs. Moore?” asked Mr. Evans.

Mr. Evilsizor responded:

“No—we do not. One thing to try to keep in mind which one should come first...when we try to work with the property owner to find an agreement. I guess...got to the point to where I figured...might as well go ahead and apply to the FAA for the Runway Extension Project (the agreement would be for over a million dollars), and see if the FAA is ready to proceed with it, or not...and to try get that question answered, because if they're not ready to proceed with the runway extension then (you know) maybe we don't need to work with the property owner at that point...so (you know) which one comes first. I think either one can come first, but...I know for us it's just (you know) we were thinking of seeing if the FAA was ready to proceed, and if they are...then we would get back and work with the property owner (to try and make something work).”

Mr. Crabill attempted to speak, and Council President Hess noted there has not been a motion made for discussion.

Mr. Crabill stated, “You might want to leave it on the table anyway, because we're getting some word on the ODOT Grant...that we may even make up some of that work in the Federal side...so we'll know more on that for the next meeting.”

Council President Hess suggested Mr. Crabill email further information to Council, and/or the topic could be added to the June 21st Work Session.

First Reading –

ORDINANCE NO. 4362 –

AN ORDINANCE TO REVISE THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES BY ADOPTING CURRENT REPLACEMENT PAGES

(*Read and declared a First Reading)

Discussion –

Mr. Evans noted there are significant changes in the Charter Amendments, and suggested Council familiarize themselves with the changes.

Mr. Lokai said, “We passed the expenditure for it—right? Would it not be proper to just go ahead (I don't know what good it's going to do to keep talking about this)...we passed the expenditure portion...”

Mr. Lokai questioned the reason for not moving ahead with passage.

Mr. Crabill recited the wording in the recent legal ad that noted the final reading date.

(*A legal ad must be placed 7 days prior to passage of this Ordinance)

Miscellaneous Business

Mr. Lokai - Said he would like to go last (after Council President Hess called on him first)

“Next meeting—how's that?” said Council President Hess.

Mr. Lokai discussed the topic of raising the minimum amount for Administrative spending; He stressed taking a strong look at raising that amount to (potentially) \$10,000

- Mr. Thorpe - It was suggested that this could be a topic for the next Work Session
- Said he would like to discuss 'Phoenix Drive' at the next Work Session
- Mr. Bean - Discussed the Civil Service Testing, and questioned whether Council should appropriate the money for the testing
Mr. Williams said he spoke with the Law Director.
Mr. Williams stated, "We approved the Budget, and the Budget had \$7,500 in each organization for those tests...and his opinion was, that because the money was already appropriated in the budgeting process that we didn't have to go through the re-appropriation for approval... considering that the Civil Service Commission selects the vendor (in this case)."

"I guess what I don't understand is--we approve the Budget (but almost all of this stuff is in the Budget), that we appropriate money for," said Mr. Bean.

"That's correct—except there's no wording in there that says from the Charter standpoint that the Civil Service is authorized to spend that money...they are authorized to make that selection process," stated Mr. Williams.

"I guess I'm confused," said Mr. Bean.

"Well, let me clarify a little bit—I guess what I'm saying is the Law Director's telling me that the Charter supersedes and dictates the behavior...so I can get a further clarification from him on that...but what he's saying is the Civil Service is named in the Charter to select the testing agency...and based on that, he's telling me that...that selection process overrules anything we've done except that the amount was in the Budget originally at \$7,500 for both Police, and for Fire," stated Mr. Williams.

"This is the only reason we bring other things to Council is because there's an Ordinance that says that anything over \$2,500 we have to bring to Council (even though it's already been appropriated in the Appropriation Budget each year)...so it's kind of a two part type of process we have to go through," said Mr. Evilsizor. "So in this case (yeah) there's another Ordinance in the Charter language that says that Civil Service (you know) makes that decision...so it's just the same reasoning that (you know) we get Council's approval on anything over \$2,500..."

"I'm just confused about the whole process," said Mr. Bean.

Mr. Williams (to Mr. Bean) suggested that 'in future discussions, we should probably get with the Law Director—you and I—to get the process defined, and which one overrules the other.'

Council President Hess said, "It will probably be a long time before we have to do that again—it won't be anytime soon."

He also suggested Mr. Bean would probably like a financial update.

Mr. Williams said, "As of today—we have \$355,000—our estimate for the month was three-thirty. We're \$25,000 ahead. I would anticipate (at this point, with a little less than a week to go), maybe another \$15,000/\$20,000 coming in...maybe hit three-seventy-five. ..end up the month \$40,000-\$45,000 ahead. So that would be the fourth month out of five this year, and that's a good trend."

- Mr. Fields - Said, "I'd just like to thank Chris (and the Cemetery group) for getting the Cemetery ready for the weekend."
He also wished everyone a 'safe Memorial Day weekend.'

- Mr. Evans - Noted, 'We'll still battling the war of the potholes.'
 He pointed to a pothole from the Dairy Queen to Logan that
 'could swallow a small child (or a large child).'
- Mr. Evans questioned why cold patching is being done rather
 than utilizing the DuraPatch Machine
- Mr. Evilsizor said he would 'get with Colin, and have Colin get with you, and answer
 that question.'
- Mr. Evans noted communications from residents in the 4th Ward with concerns about the
 water that they're getting in their basements; He said a neighborhood meeting is being
 scheduled
- Mr. Evans requested (someone from the) Administration attend the meeting on Thursday,
 May 26, 7:00PM, at the First Christian Church. He said he plans to attend as well.
- Mr. Brugger - Commented about the nice article in the newspaper about the
 Pool, and the Pool activities
 Mentioned the settling of the bricks in the sidewalks downtown,
 and asked who is responsible for maintaining
 Mr. Evilsizor said it is on the City's list
- Mr. Shaw - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Crabill - Thanked Council for their support of the Paper Mill Project, and
 apologized for the late BOC Items
- Mr. Heintz - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Williams - Discussed the Tax Budget for 2012 (at 50% completion; ready
 for a First Reading by Mid-June)
- Mr. Evilsizor - Briefly discussed Mr. Evans' issue of water in basements;
 Noted Mr. Chad Hall stated that rainfall is 11 inches above
 normal for the year
 "I think it's just enough to get into people's basements (which
 is—yeah--really unfortunate), but we'll do everything that we can to help them...I'm not
 sure what the answer is, but we'll certainly work with them the best we can," stated Mr.
 Evilsizor.
- Mayor Ruth Zerkle - Said, "I'd like to share with everyone that we did dedicate the
 historical marker down at the Pennsylvania Railroad on Saturday, May the 21st...we had
 a very nice crowd...visitors...local people, and children actually came with the
 families...so that was very nice for them to see that come to fruition.
 I'd also like to let you know the families are ready to use the Pool...the children are
 jumping up and down, and can't wait!"
- The Mayor also wished everyone a 'Happy and Safe Memorial Day weekend'; Invited all
 to come to the Cemetery to join in the services for the Veterans.
- Council President Hess - Asked (Mr. Evilsizor) if the street sweeper will hit anything
 other than the main streets this year
 Mr. Evilsizor indicated (on a regular basis) it will be hitting all
 of the streets, but not as often as last year
 "Is the Street Department picking up brush?" asked Council
 President Hess. "Because with the storms we've been having—
 I know they've got to be behind..."
 He referred to previous questions by Mr. Shaw (to Administra-

tion regarding ‘What constitutes a major storm? What is the definition?’

Council President Hess said, “When tornado sirens go off, I think that’s a major storm! How’s that?!”

“Yeah—they were picking up today,” said Mr. Evilsizor. “Yeah, Colin reported that there was quite a bit down, so they were picking up today. We should probably get a date out to people for a one time pick up...”

“...and the Compost Facility is open when?” asked Council President Hess.

“From 8-4:30 M-F, and Saturdays 9-3:00,” answered Mr. Evilsizor.

Council President Hess referred to compost prices as being very reasonable.

He also mentioned the race held at the Park the previous weekend, and noted it as successful. Trash cans were full, but overall the Park was in great condition.

“If you give people a place to go—I think they’ll have enough respect for it/they’ll keep it clean,” said Council President Hess.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Shaw moved to adjourn to Executive Session (approximately 7:59PM) to discuss ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT and PROPERTY; Mr. Fields seconded.

Roll call vote to approve: Mr. Bean, yes; Mr. Fields, yes; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Brugger, yes; Mr. Shaw, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes; Mr. Thorpe, yes (7-0)

ADJOURNMENT

Following the brief Executive Session, Mr. Lokai moved to reconvene to Regular Session and adjourn; Mr. Evans seconded. All were in favor of adjournment.

Council Clerk

Council President