

**URBANA CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR SESSION MINUTES – JUNE 26, 2012**

Council President Marty Hess called the Urbana City Council Regular Session Meeting of Tuesday, June 26, 2012 to order at 7:02PM (on the Court Clock), in Court Chambers/The Municipal Building.

Attendance: All Council Members were present

City Staff – Mr. Kerry Brugger, Director of Administration; Mr. Lee Williams, Finance Director; Mr. Doug Crabill, Assistant to the Director of Administration; Mr. Tyler Bumbalough; Mr. Robert Munch; and Mr. Chris Stokes (Mayor Bill Bean was absent)

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Robert Thorpe moved to approve the UCC Public Hearing Minutes, UCC Regular Session Minutes, and UCC Work Session Minutes (all of June 12, 2012); Mr. Larry Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Communications

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the LUC Regional Planning Commission Executive Committee Meeting Minutes (June 14, 2012) on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the City of Urbana Shade Tree Commission Minutes (April 2012) on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the email to Council from Mr. Bruce Evilsizor (June 15, 2012) regarding June 5, 2012 Council Informal Meeting Minutes and Building Dept. Comments together with (5) attachments, on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Mr. Thorpe moved to place the email to Council from Mr. Dave Noice (June 19, 2012) regarding the question of Firearms Rules in Urbana Parks, on file; Mr. Lokai seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Lokai commented, “I do appreciate getting the email, but really it’s nothing we can do—it’s really an Administrative/and Police matter...although it’s good to know about it, there’s nothing that Council can do.”

“Did he get a reply?” asked Council President Hess (to Mr. Kerry Brugger).

Mr. Brugger said, “Not yet—we have an answer—we just haven’t replied yet.”

Mr. Brugger pointed to action/response this week.

“I did send a reply back indicating this was an Administrative/Police matter, and not a Council matter,” Mr. Lokai said.

Administrative Reports/Board of Control

1.

Mr. Lokai moved to authorize a purchase order to White’s Service Center in the amount of \$16,465 for the purchase of a Ford F-150XL pickup truck (This was the lowest bid of 2 bids received/This will be charged to Water Dept. Capital, and is in the 2012 Budget for \$20,000); Mr. Thorpe seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Robert Munch said, “In our ongoing process of replacing older, less-than-new vehicles with several issues as well as being undersized for the use that was intended for

them...this will be the second S-10 that's being replaced with a full sized pickup truck...which will be able to better perform the functions that the Water Division has." Mr. Dwight Paul mentioned the difference in the warranties (esp. with the Silverado 5 yr/100,000 Powertrain), and asked, "Is there any concern (on your part) taking the F-150 versus the Silverado?"

Mr. Munch did not express any concerns.

2.

Mr. Evans moved to authorize a purchase order to Floyd Browne Group in the amount of \$7,480 to finalize a study, related to the 4th Ward water issue (This recommendation is based on the scope of work developed with The Floyd Browne Group, and is expected to provide the City with a summary document as described in the proposal presented in the last Work Session. This will be charged to the General Fund Non-Departmental, and is not in the 2012 Budget); Mr. Doug Hoffman seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Eugene Fields said, "I just think it's money well spent to try to come up with something...some kind of guidelines or resolution down there."

Mr. Evans added, "It's time we do something...it's a good opportunity for us to do it (at a reduced rate, that we looked at last year), so I think we ought to move forward."

Mrs. Virginia Smith asked, "When we get results back—is there going to be a Public Meeting for the people in the 4th Ward?"

Mr. Brugger replied, "There will be...we'll finalize that as we move along...there will be meetings internally (to make sure we have everything we need) covered, there will be a presentation at a Work Session (to make sure that everybody's satisfied with the information that we have)...and then we'll have a follow up meeting (a Public Hearing, if you will)...and that can be arranged however we want to set that up."

"Just so they're informed as we go along—so they'll know what's going on," Mrs. Smith said.

"There will be information as we go through it," Mr. Brugger confirmed.

Mr. Lokai stated:

"To kind of follow up on what Virginia did say—I know all this is about \$4,000 to \$5,000 less than the original quote was (a year ago), so that's good...this also does one other thing...it throws what the problem is to another non-involved party (that is what I would call neutral)...as we know there's been a lot of he-said/she-said/they-said kind of things going on...I think it's good we've got an outside source that does this professionally, that can kind of give us a guideline...and hopefully resolve some issues that we do have there. There are problems there—I don't think anybody can deny that, but I think the key thing is we'll have an outside source looking at this...and it's not going to be anybody in house saying, 'This is the problem.'"

Mr. Thorpe agreed with Mr. Lokai's statement.

"I agree with the panel—I think it's the right thing to do (even though it's not in the budget)—because we saved a little money on the F-150 (we can apply towards it)...but I think it will give us some closure, and help put this issue to bed...and I appreciate you guys for bringing it forward," said Mr. Hoffman.

"This is a study to find out what the problems are—it could be a simple solution/it could be a very expensive solution, as far as what we do after this gets found out is still to be seen...so we'll be working on it, but this is a first step," stated Council President Hess.

3.

Mr. Lokai moved to authorize a purchase order in the amount of \$7,530 for the purchase of material and equipment rental to construct a 18' x 21' topsoil storage building at the Cemetery (The recommendation is to purchase the material from local suppliers, and construct the building with in-house labor. As discussed at the Work Session, this storage option will allow for more efficient use of the City's material and labor resources. This will be charged to Cemetery Capital, and is not in the 2012 Budget); Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Evans said, "I realize this isn't in the 2012 Budget—but this is an expenditure that (over the long haul) can pay for itself in...reduced man hours, reduced overtime, reduced equipment wear-and-tear...so I think this is a good idea."

Mr. Paul sought to again verify the exact dimensions.

Mr. Stokes repeated what the Clerk had read, "18' by 21.'"

Mr. Brugger noted the correction that was made to the original Agenda via follow-up email.

"Rental equipment—what do we need in rental equipment?" asked Mr. Thorpe.

"There will be—a vibrator, when we go pour the walls to help settle the concrete—and I don't know if there's some power equipment...for trowels...things like that, but there won't be a whole lot of rental equipment involved," replied Mr. Brugger.

"Forms—cement forms," Mr. Stokes added.

Mr. Hoffman agreed with Mr. Evans in regard to 'paying for itself over the long haul, and it's going to make the job easier for the guys out there in the field dealing with it.' He pointed to some 'potential disrespect issues out there,' and said this will help to alleviate those issues.

Citizen Comments

There were no Citizen Comments brought forward.

Ordinances and Resolutions

Third Reading –

There were no Third Readings at this Meeting.

Second Reading –

ORDINANCE NO. 4386 –

*Read and declared a Second Reading

Discussion –

Mr. Fields said he does not want to receive replacement pages.

RESOLUTION NO. 2368 –

*Read and declared a Second Reading

Council President Hess asked Mr. Williams, "Do you need this tonight?"

Mr. Williams replied, "No."

Mr. Evans complimented Mr. Williams regarding his presentation of information to Council (via email, the Work Session, and the Public Hearing).

First Reading –

RESOLUTION NO. 2369 –

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY OF URBANA TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION (OPWC) STATE

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED
(Dellinger Rd. Improvements)

*Read and declared a First Reading

Discussion –

Mr. Doug Crabill explained :

“This is a project to improve Dellinger Rd.—both the Salem Township Trustees and the City of Urbana (over the years) have had numerous phone calls about the visibility of that intersection. Of course—if you back look at the Police Records, I’m sure there’s documented history of accidents as a result of the visibility concerns there. In talking with Salem Township (when we repaved the road there a few years ago), we began discussions about maybe doing this project...so at this point, it’s actually been designed...and when we got into the design process (our engineering got into the design process), they found that it would be conducive to do the sewer at the same time...because of the elevation of the sewer, and the amount of excavation required...”

Mr. Tyler Bumbalough indicated 25 feet deep.

“So it just made sense to do it as part of this project,” said Mr. Crabill, also reciting the total estimated cost of the project as \$342,666. The sewer portion of the project is 32%, or roughly \$109,000.

Mr. Crabill added, “Salem Township would make the application, and we would execute a cooperative agreement with them to participate financially...and also to assist them with this project...and we’re still working out some of those details, but we do know that with a typical OPWC grant...we try to go for 11% local match, and 89% grant...which would mean about \$38,000 between the two entities...Salem Township would be about \$14,000., and the City would be about \$24,000.”

The application is due to the County subcommittee of OPWC on July 19.

Mr. Crabill said he would request passage at the Second Reading, and he noted ‘Salem Township has a Resolution on their Agenda tonight.’

Mr. Fields asked, “You added \$10,000.—is that because of the sewer line?”

“The way it was broken out...they have 37% of the project, we have 63%...that was done when the estimate was put together,” Mr. Crabill explained.. “All of the line items that would essentially make up the contractor’s bid were broken up of...Salem Township, City of Urbana...and that’s how we got to that point. They won’t pay for the sewer.”

Mr. Evans asked, “The eastern part of that road...we’re going to lower that road? We’re going to take that rise out?”

Mr. Bumbalough replied, “Yes—there’s a hill just east of Julia St.—I think we’re going to drop it by about 2 or 3 feet total, and that will cure some of the visibility issues there.”

“Visibility looking east?” Mr. Evans sought to verify.

“Yeah—if you’re sitting at the Julia stop sign—looking east, or just coming up over the hill for the driveways entering in there,” said Mr. Bumbalough.

“How big of a stretch is this?” asked Mr. Evans.

“The hill portion is probably 200 feet or so,” replied Mr. Bumbalough.

“...and the road will be closed for? Any idea?” asked Mr. Evans.

Mr. Bumbalough said, “Not yet—not yet.”

“But it will be a period of time?” asked Mr. Evans.

“Yes--yes,” Mr. Bumbalough answered. “Like I said—the sewer is pretty deep.”

“It won’t be one lane, and move over to the other lane? The whole road will be closed?” asked Mr. Evans.

“No—this is going to have to be a closure,” said Mr. Bumbalough.

“So—once all the paperwork’s been done, and the grant’s been approved (and everything)...how soon do you perceive this getting started?” asked Mr. Paul.

Mr. Crabill replied, “If the grant was approved we would have a grant agreement from OPWC July 1st of next year...and then after that, we would be able to start construction.”

“So it’s still a good year away?” Mr. Paul sought to verify.

“Yep,” Mr. Crabill replied.

Mrs. Smith stated, “This is a very good project—it is very hard to see on the other side of that hill.”

Mr. Lokai agreed the safety factor is important, and described it as a ‘proactive project.’ Council President Hess asked, “Tyler—where will that sewer hook up to?”

“The sewer will run 800 feet to the east—the connection point will be in the Julia intersection (Julia and Dellinger intersection, and run 800 feet east)...to the last house on the north side of Dellinger,” Mr. Bumbalough said.

“But there’s no sewer there now?” asked Council President Hess.

“No—that’s all Salem Township’s, so there isn’t any sewer service...” said Mr. Bumbalough.

“On the south side?” asked Council President Hess.

“On the north side,” answered Mr. Bumbalough.

“On the north side—so it will service the north side?” asked Council President Hess.

“It will service either side, but...” Mr. Bumbalough began.

“I think there would be 1 house on the south side too, that will potentially get the service ...it would be in the city,” Mr. Crabill interjected.

RESOLUTION NO. 2370 –

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY OF URBANA TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION (OPWC) STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED (Phase 1 Water Replacement – Wooddale/Amherst)

*Read and declared a First Reading

Discussion –

Mr. Crabill explained :

“This one (if you recall)...back in March (I believe) we submitted an application to the Water Supply Revolving Loan Fund for the Phase 1 Water Project...and the way we put that together is we included all of the water line replacement on E. Church/E. Court/E. Ward...but we also included that application at Amherst and Wooddale which was our Phase 1 area for the water main replacement throughout the city...and right now, that’s on the project priority list...and, basically meaning it’s funded...and what we would like to do...that will end up being like a 2 or 3% loan (probably 3%), and we decided we would split it up into OPWC funding for Amherst and Wooddale...because that’s the zero percent loan typically...so just kind of breaking it up, but right now we are funded through the other State program...but we could take this part out and fund it through OPWC.”

Mr. Lokai remarked, "I'm glad to see this is on there, because there are 23 holes that have been in Wooddale Dr. (which is three tenths of a mile long) tells you that something's wrong with the soil down there...there are a lot of problems on that street...gas, sewer, and water...so I'm glad to see we're moving forward."

Council President Hess (to Mr. Munch) asked, "Why are those lines so bad out there? Larry said he had 23 digs in how many feet..."

"23 concrete or black top replacement spots...three tenths of a mile," Mr. Lokai said.

"There's buried treasure out there somewhere," Mr. Hoffman said jokingly.

Mr. Munch stated, "All those digs aren't water...there are a multitude of others...some of them are water. I wasn't here when the line was put in, so I really can't say why it is. I can guess (by being nice in the guess) that the installers made some errors, and the lines were prematurely aged by it (the method of installation)."

"When you dig them up—were they deep enough to be below the frost line?" asked Council President Hess.

"Yes—they're just basically rotting in place," answered Mr. Munch.

Council President Hess commented regarding some of the lines in the older part of town (being still there).

"Is Grimes Circle any older than up there...?" asked Mr. Munch.

"About the same time," replied Council President Hess.

"Grimes is having the same issues," said Mr. Munch.

Mr. Crabill recited \$650,000 as the estimated cost of the Wooddale/Amherst section.

"Our share is?" asked Council President Hess.

"\$650,000," answered Mr. Crabill. "It's a loan—not a grant."

Miscellaneous Business

Mr. Hoffman - Announced he will be unable to attend the July 10 UCC Meeting, due to a business commitment

Mr. Thorpe - Requested a delay in his remarks

Mr. Lokai - Reminded residents to water plants/etc. during the extreme heat

Mrs. Virginia Smith -

Asked about the Recycling progress

Mr. Crabill said, "The bids will be released on Thursday—they will be due July 16th, and we will have a contract recommendation to you on July 24th. The plan will be to have a new contract in place to start (the current one expires August 30th, or August 31st)...the new one would start September 1...and (if I remember right) the way it works now with the current contract, pick up would be on a Thursday...I think August 31st might be a Friday."

"So we're staying with the bin we have now, or...?" asked Mrs. Smith.

Mr. Crabill replied, "I believe—yeah—we're going to look at the 18 gallon...basically, maintaining things as close to normal...as close to the way we have them now as possible."

Mr. Hoffman asked what companies will be involved in the bidding

Mr. Crabill said, "Republic/Allied, Waste Management, and Rumpke... we also will make sure that Roberts receives it as well. I understand they were not interested in the recycling, but we will still make sure that they receive it."

“I believe that during the last go ‘round they would like to be (at least) considered,” stated Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Crabill said he would make sure that Roberts receives a copy

Mr. Dwight Paul –

Said : “I attended the County Commissioners’ Meeting last week regarding potential Electric Aggregate Opt-Out Plan that’s possibly coming to the area—it would not be an REA, but it would be an opt-out program through the County Commissioners Association of Ohio Service Corporation. Basically, it’s a chance to opt-out using DP&L as your power generator...they would still be the carrier, but they would not be the generator.

We could possibly enter into an agreement with another group of government entities...so I have information here, Mr. President...I’m not sure if this is more of a Work Session type information—I notice we don’t have a Work Session on our Agenda this evening. Is it proper for me to distribute information during the Executive Session?” “No—now’s fine,” answered Council President Hess.

“Okay—so I do have handouts—I only have (I believe) 6 copies to give to Council,” said Mr. Paul. “The reason that I’m bringing this up (according to them), that in the meeting last week...it does require Council action, it does require a ballot initiative in November...for this to occur. I know I need to get one to Gail—I’ll have to make copies...so basically what this is...this is an opportunity for residents (if they so choose) to be part of a larger aggregate group (as I said) for the purchase of electricity.”

“So basically what would happen under DP&L billing—you would have 1 DP&L bill with 2 sections in it,” Mr. Paul added, further explaining the process. “There is a group currently that does this, and it is the County Commissioners’ Association Energy Solution Section...so basically, there’s a gentleman from Palmer Electric...they could possibly act as our representatives, and perform the RFP Process taking bids/doing the paperwork...so Kerry, you guys probably wouldn’t have to do this...They’re quoting numbers...if you do this...a 25-30% savings on half of your electric bill...it’s not on your entire bill, but possibly half...you would also have an opt-out feature where you could save with DP&L as your energy producer...”

Mr. Paul noted:

“There would have to be two Public Hearings per law...individuals and customers would see savings (they quoted) about 5 or 6 months after the process was completed...this would only apply to residential and small businesses...so basically, the overall high level process is you have a ballot initiative in November that would pass, then the RFP Process (put the situation out for bid), pick a company that best suits our needs... and then what would go out would be opt-out letters to all DP&L customers saying, ‘Would you like to be a part of this, or not?’...and it will be completely up to them. It is renewable every 3 years...and there is a representative from Palmer Energy Company that would be more than willing to come in during a Work Session to provide (probably better) details than I am...but I wanted to get us thinking about this, and talking about this a little bit.”

Mr. Lokai said, “Any time these great ideas come about...has there been any other areas that have tried this?...because sometimes you get these great ideas that come in, and they low-ball you the first year, and backfill it the next 2 years (or 5 years down the road), and you’re actually going to pay more.”

“...and that’s a good point, I don’t want to say that I’m all for this (or against it)...I’m just trying to provide information at this point,” Mr. Paul responded. “Yes—Palmer Energy represents a block of folks involved in 40 counties in the state...25 of those use Palmer Energy as their interface.”

Mrs. Smith referred to calls of trying to solicit for this over the telephone.

“There’s about 5 other suppliers—it’s really competitive,” Mr. Crabill said.

Mr. Paul mentioned subjectivity to cancellation fees.

Mr. Hoffman said, “I think I get some of these things in the mail, and I usually just toss them in a bucket...so my question is, if this is something we can do on our own why is it that we have to...why has this come about (if this is something people can do already)?”

“Well, I think the reasoning behind it (near as I can gather from the meeting) is that...you’re consolidating a large number of consumers to get a lower rate,” Mr. Paul replied. “I don’t have numbers as far as how many customers they have going in this particular group...”

Mr. Evans - Nothing at this time

Mr. Fields - Announced a Swim Team Meet (June 27th/6:PM)/Council is invited
Council President Hess (to Mr. Paul) noted that Mr. Bill Kelley of DP&L attends Council Meetings once a month, and noted he has asked him about the energy programs.

Mr. Paul repeated that he is just trying to get information out; He is not trying to sway anyone regarding the energy cost savings

Mr. Chris Stokes –

Thanked Council for their support of the Parks/Cemetery/Pool needs
Reported about the Swim Meets; Noted Mrs. Smith has attended
Said he has had favorable comments from Bellefontaine and the Spfld.
Country Club groups; Announced totals for season passes: Last year was 154, and thus far this season – 191
Announced Baseball/Softball are winding down; Concerts in the Park have gone well
Expressed appreciation to his staff at the Cemetery/Park for their hard work in the dry/hot weather

Mr. Tyler Bumbalough –

Nothing at this time

Mr. Munch - Nothing at this time

Mr. Crabill - Placed a reservation to discuss Fair Housing at a future Work Session
He spoke of the “2011 Analysis of Impediments” Report, and noted he would like to go over it with Council
Said Fair Housing training is necessary; Said some issues also relate to zoning

Mr. Lee Williams –

Nothing at this time

Mr. Kerry Brugger –

Noted Mayor Bill Bean was unable to attend this meeting, because he is involved with the Ohio Chautauqua 2012 Kick-Off (starts June 26 and continues every day through Saturday, with a live presentation every evening)

Announced a Retreat on Saturday, July 21st (8:AM-Noon) in the Police/

Fire Training Room; Invited Council attendance to discuss some of the larger City projects/Initiatives (road improvements, infrastructure, water lines, etc.)

Council President Hess –

Said: “To Mr. Lokai’s point—if you do water your flowers (and do it a lot), if you do not have a deduct meter on your water meter you’re going to have to pay sewer on that...so you might want to look into a deduct meter. It’s expensive first off, but it pays for itself very, very quickly...so if you want to water your flowers, look into a deduct meter and it will save you quite a bit of money.”

He also said:

“At our last couple meetings I guess we had some discussion about...when do we meet...and after our last meeting I talked to one of the early Charter formers / early members...and asked why the sign says we have 2 meetings and a Work Session...and he said he looked through all his stuff, and it’s been that way since he was on Council in the early 70s...but he says the Charter says we only have to have 1 meeting a month, and a Work Session to be called as needed. Council Rules determine when we meet, so Council Rules are in your Administrative book (the green one)...one day maybe everybody will have it, if not maybe you can find it online...but I would like to have Council (as a whole) sit down sometime and go over those rules...and see what we can do to fine tune those...so everybody knows what happens, when it happens, why it happens, where it happens...so to speak to that point, and Mr. Crabill asked for some topics on the Work Session...I asked for 1 for the Walter Drane...are you interested in having a Work Session in July? It would be the 17th—the Regular Meetings are the 10th and 24th—if you want to, we could have a Work Session the 17th. Does Administration have anything else they want to bring up then?”

Mr. Brugger replied, “We could find some things, but right now there’s nothing.”

Mr. Lokai said:

“It seems like it’s working if we have some of our Work Session items after the second meeting—we could actually have 4 meetings in less than 2 hours, which tells me that we can...and what really helps is when Mr. Brugger sends us the stuff ahead of time...and (quite honestly), the reason the Work Sessions take so much time is this cold turkey way too many times (we get the stuff then)...and this meeting shouldn’t last more than 2 more minutes. If we can get a Regular Meeting done in an hour, and our Work Session in an hour (that’s our regular 2 hours—that’s plenty of time). We voted 5 to 2 to go to Summer session, as we’ve done in some years past...and that (to me) is the majority of the Council that we would like to go that route...and if we can work in a 1 hour Work Session...and I’m sure Doug can streamline whatever we need to be done, but to say, ‘We’re going to look for something for the Work Session’...to me, that looks like just trying to go against what the 5 Council Members said we could consolidate, but if there’s really a need we could do it after each of the meetings. I don’t see why that’s a problem—we already voted on it once.”

Mrs. Smith said, “I like the Summer Work Sessions—however...I have to go back to work on the 9th and 10th (and we’ll be working over)...so on the 10th, I will not want to stay over...and have it. I would like to see an exception made...that if we need it on the 17th, to go ahead and have it.”

“If there’s a need to have a Work Session on the 17th—I’m perfectly happy to have a Work Session—I have no problem with that,” Mr. Paul added. “I think I said that before...if there’s a need for it, let’s do it...if there’s not a need, let’s not.” Council President Hess noted he was suggesting the 17th, but Council could have it on the 24th after the Regular Session.

“Is there anything that we can cover on the 10th?” asked Mr. Paul.

“It all depends on what comes down the pike,” Council President Hess replied.

Mr. Paul said he feels that Work Sessions following the Regular Sessions seem adequate, but added that if a Work Session is needed on the 17th he is ‘okay with that.’

“I just need Council’s direction on what to do. When would you like to speak to these issues? I don’t want to put it off until September,” Council President Hess interjected.

“Right,” Mr. Paul said.

“Why can’t we just...if there’s something small to handle, (if we can) go for it...and if it’s not small (it’s going to be big) we’ll meet on the 17th and get a lot of it wiped out before the 24th...so we can do it in 4 hours, and it will still free up...if that’s okay with everybody else,” added Mrs. Smith.

Mr. Evans stated, “I really don’t really want to talk about this issue much anymore—I hope that all of the Council people got my email from last meeting (I gave some information that was incorrect)—personally, I’d like to get out of here as soon as possible...both my wife and I get up early in the morning...and usually when I get home from the meeting she’s already in bed! I would like to say that I’m flexible either way, but (for me) I prefer to have the separate Work Session (the 17th).”

“I would rather have it on the 10th or the 24th—it seems that we’re having a Retreat on the 21st—it’s a possibility, right?” said Mr. Fields. “I would rather stay with the Summer Work Sessions.”

Mr. Hoffman agreed with Mr. Evans, as far as ‘putting this thing to bed.’

Mr. Hoffman stated:

“I think most of the comments were geared towards how we can better meet the needs of the Administration, and the Work Sessions. You know, Kerry—I don’t know what all you guys have coming on...you may know exactly what all you need to discuss, but I think a lot of it’s going to be based on feedback from your team...and how much time we need...I mean, today we could’ve been out here (you know) 5-10 minutes ago, so if we were to add on 30 minutes it wouldn’t have been a huge deal...but I don’t know what you have coming forth...if it’s going to take an hour and a half--then okay, maybe we need to have that additional meeting...so without really knowing what’s on the Agenda (you know) it’s hard to say!”

“I think the Fair Housing discussion (depending on how it’s broken up) could be a longer meeting—now, I could split it in 2 parts (or 3 parts)—or whatever to discuss it, but it probably (as a whole) is 1 topic that could take some time,” said Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Lokai asked, “What kind of information could you get to us in advance?”

“I will have to provide the information in advance, because there’s a lot of stuff that really...the more prepared you guys are coming in, the more helpful it will be for the discussion,” replied Mr. Crabill.

“Well, I think this is the key point,” Mr. Lokai interjected.

“...and I don’t have to have it in July either...it could be in August,” said Mr. Crabill.

“We need to have it.”

“I guess I’m in favor of having the Work Session, because I want to stay up with what the Administration is trying to tell us!” stated Mr. Thorpe. “...and if you lose a week, you’ve lost more than what you think! I’d just like to know what’s going on.”

“Well (like I said) we’ve got those 2 topics—we can try to get them in on the 10th...if we don’t...it’s up to you guys whether you want to (like I said)...I’d like to get this handled as soon as possible...” Council President Hess said.

Mr. Hoffman stated:

“If I could suggest maybe a reasonable solution to all of this—if we were to start having a Work Session after the first meeting, and maybe we put a reasonable time on the meeting...so that if we reach time (whatever), and we’re not done talking about what we need to talk about...maybe that can trigger us to come back for the normal Work Session...because I agree with what Al’s saying...with certain people’s schedules it can be difficult getting home late, but maybe try to make everybody happy...and do it that way...if we can get it in (then great)...we won’t have it that next week, and if we don’t...well, then we’ll have to!”

“Would you like to have a Work Session on the 10th, or the 17th, to speak to those issues?” asked Council President Hess.

Mr. Evans moved to reschedule a Work Session for July 17; Mr. Thorpe seconded.

Roll call vote to approve: Mr. Fields, no; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, no; Mrs. Smith, yes; Mr. Lokai, no; Mr. Thorpe, yes; Mr. Hoffman, no (4 – no/ 3- yes)

There will be no Work Session on July 17, 2012.

Council President Hess announced a Work Session following the UCC Regular Session on July 10. Topics mentioned were: Council Rules, and Walter Drane.

Mr. Evans said if the Work Session is running too long on July 10, Council could find a ‘good stopping point, and pick it up next time.’

Mr. Hoffman (to Mr. Brugger) asked whether there is enough time for notice to be made if a Work Session does become necessary on July 17, and to put the rest of the information together for Council.

Mr. Brugger said, “I would imagine...as long as we know (that week) we can have it in the packet...we’ll try to have it ready for Thursday morning, and you’ll have it Friday or Saturday...to drop off.”

Mrs. Smith (to Mr. Stokes) commented:

“I did go to the Swim Meet, and I did want to say...I think you’ve done a very good job...the decoration was really great...those little kids running around...they were really happy...it was my first time I had ever been to one, and everybody that I talked to seemed to really be enjoying it (especially the kids). You did a terrific job (you and your crew), so it was really nice coming out.”

Mr. Stokes replied, “Thank you.”

Council President Hess said, “I walked in late for the Public Hearing, because I was going by my watch (this watch is fast)...so I guess maybe we better figure out which watch you want to go by...a couple other Council Members too...so in the future if you have a cellphone with the times on that...we’ll go by official cellphone time...how’s that? Does that work for everybody?”

Executive Session (Administrative Request)

Mr. Thorpe moved to adjourn to Executive Session (at approximately 8:16PM) to discuss “Property Acquisition”; Mr. Fields seconded.

Roll call vote to approve: Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, yes; Mrs. Smith, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes; Mr. Thorpe, yes; Mr. Hoffman, yes; Mr. Fields, yes (7-0)

Adjournment

Following the Executive Session, Mr. Thorpe moved to reconvene to Regular Session and adjourn; Mr. Fields seconded. All were in favor of adjournment.

Council Clerk

Council President