

**URBANA CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR SESSION MINUTES – APRIL 24, 2012**

The Urbana City Council Regular Session Meeting of Tuesday, April 24, 2012 was called to order by **Council President Marty Hess**, at 7:00PM in Court Chambers/The Municipal Building.

Attendance : All of Council were present

City Staff – Mayor Bill Bean; Mr. Kerry Brugger, Director of Administration; Mr. Lee Williams, Finance Director; Mr. Doug Crabill, Assistant to the Director of Administration; Mr. Chad Hall; Fire Chief Mark Keller; Police Chief Matt Lingrell; Mr. Colin Stein; Mr. Chris Stokes

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Proclamations

Mayor Bill Bean read a Proclamation recognizing “Administrative Professionals’ Day” as Wednesday, April 25, 2012, and “Administrative Professionals’ Week” as April 22-28, 2012.

Mayor Bean also read a Proclamation recognizing Arbor Day in the city of Urbana as Friday, April 27, 2012.

Mr. Doug Crabill provided an update on Tree City USA:

“We make an application to the National Arbor Day Foundation every December (for the work that we’ve accomplished in that particular year...so for 2011, we made our application in December and got word here (I think in March) that we met all the requirements to be a ‘Tree City USA.’ The 4 requirements are: to have a Tree Board or Tree Commission, a community Tree Ordinance, at least \$2. per capita/per resident spent on trees in the city, and an official Arbor Day proclamation and celebration is held each year...so we met all of those requirements last year.”

He announced the following persons as members of the Tree Board: Al Evans, Elmo Layman, Mike Sell, Ron McFarland, Earl Cottrell, Colin Stein, and Doug Crabill.

“Without everyone’s participation in that Commission, we would not be able to do what we’ve been able to do,” Mr. Crabill pointed out. “...and last year was kind of an anomaly...we spent almost \$5.50 per resident on tree work in the city...largely (a lot of that) was because of the Ash Tree Program that we were conducting last year (between the grant we had for replacement trees, and removal of Ash Trees)...and I think we were lucky to be able to make that happen last year.”

Mr. Crabill discussed the arrival of the Emerald Ash Borer to the community, and said devastation would be evident this year among the Ash Trees.

He announced, “Last year was also our 10th year of being a ‘Tree City,’ so we got two new road signs to put up (north & south).”

Mr. Evans noted a vacancy on the Tree Board for the 1st Ward seat, but indicated if a candidate is not found in the 1st Ward other persons would qualify from another Ward.

Mr. Crabill said he can be contacted (or Mayor Bean), if anyone is interested in serving.

President’s Announcement

Council President Hess stated:

“After our last Meeting and Work Session, I had lots of conversations with a lot of people (and mainly the Law Director)—He suggested that (in the future)...you do have a set of Council Rules (and we will be following those Council Rules). He said that the president of the Council, is in charge of the Meeting and responsible for what happens. We have a

new Administration, and we have several new Council Members this year...and I'm going to try to run our meetings a little more efficiently...and we will also have more respect for each other. We're going to...if you bring something to a Council Meeting (and say you have it) you will either share it...or not share it (leave it at home). As I said, the Law Director said that I am in charge of the meeting! If the Council Meeting (I feel) gets out of hand—I will tell you, 'You're out of order.' You will then either be quiet—if I have to tell you again, you will be escorted out of the Council Meeting. What happened last week, never should've happened...and it won't happen again!
We will also be answering and asking questions in order, rather than everybody fight. Get your questions in line, and be ready to ask them. Like I said—what happened last week will not happen again!"

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Robert Thorpe moved to approve the UCC Regular Session Minutes (April 10, 2012), the UCC Work Session Minutes (April 10, 2012), and the UCC Work Session Minutes (April 17, 2012); Mr. Doug Hoffman seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Communications

1. Mr. Larry Lokai moved to place the LUC Regional Planning Commission Executive Committee Meeting Minutes (April 12, 2012) on file; Mr. Thorpe seconded. Motion carried without objection.
2. Mr. Al Evans moved to place the City of Urbana Shade Tree Commission Minutes (September 2011) on file; Mr. Dwight Paul seconded. Motion carried without objection.
3. Mr. Thorpe moved to place the City of Urbana Planning Commission Minutes (January 23, 2012; February 6, 2012) on file; Mr. Eugene Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.
4. Mr. Thorpe moved to place the City of Urbana Design Review Board Minutes (December 12, 2011) on file; Mr. Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Administrative Reports – Board of Control

1. Mr. Lokai moved to authorize a purchase order to the Ohio Association of Chiefs of Police Inc. in the amount of (not to exceed, as noted by Mr. Kerry Brugger) \$8,230 for the Assessment Center Training Process for up to 8 sergeant candidates (This will be charged to Police Operating Expense, and is not in the 2012 Budget); Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Lee Williams stated, "When we wrote this purchase req(uisition) some 2 weeks back...since that time the candidate list has been narrowed to 5. This purchase requisition (if we knew today...what it was worth today) testing the 5 candidates we have...it would've been \$4,900...so I just wanted you to know the \$8,230 request will be \$4,900...when we pay the bill."

Mr. Brugger added, "...and they anticipated that to be a 2 day assessment...it's going to end up probably being 1 day...that's the reduction that we're anticipating...thank you."

Mr. Evans asked whether the amount in the original reading should be changed. Mr. Brugger indicated it can remain with a 'not to exceed.'

2. Mr. Fields moved to authorize a purchase order to Mad River Topsoil in the amount of

\$7,000 for grinding brush into mulch at The Compost Facility (This will be charged to The Compost Facility, and is not in the 2012 Budget); Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Chad Hall addressed Council:

“As we discussed last week in Work Session—there’s no differences—we’re looking just to grind a percentage of the pile of brush that we have on-hand...if we grind the whole pile, we’re looking at an estimated amount between \$23,000 and \$28,000.”

“Two grinds—right?” asked Mr. Fields.

“Yes—it would be a double grind—fine,” answered Mr. Hall.

Mr. Evans said, “Chad (for the sake of the citizens)...when you brought this to us at the Work Session...can you explain (first of all), the need to do this because we’re running low on mulch...correct?”

Mr. Hall replied:

“We are getting fairly low on mulch—mulch sales have not really taken off like we expected to—a couple different things...we have not done much marketing of the material (because we just thought it would take over, and people would want it)...but yeah, we’re within 300 yards of being out of mulch. Potentially mulch season’s probably coming up here in the first part of May (through May). This material (more than likely) will not be ready for market until (potentially) this Fall, but we’ll definitely have enough for next Spring.”

“But you expect to recover a large portion of the cost of the grinding with the selling of the mulch—correct?” asked Mr. Evans.

Mr. Hall replied affirmatively.

“...and also the fees that are collected for...?” asked Mr. Evans.

“The tipping fees to bring the material in,” said Mr. Hall.

“Okay—so this could be a...” Mr. Evans began.

“Ultimately, it could become self-sufficient,” Mr. Hall answered.

Mr. Paul questioned whether there will be 2 days now, and then come back in the Fall with another proposal.

“That’s correct,” Mr. Hall replied.

Mr. Lokai noted that during a discussion ‘the other day’ the \$7,000 expenditure could potentially generate \$10,000.

“Very close,” said Mr. Hall.

Mr. Lokai said:

“The only concern I had was that...last year we had an opportunity to get rid of all of it...the supply and demand is (the way business is done) if we have the opportunity for a large volume of sales...I just think (from a City standpoint), if we can get rid of all of it, maybe we ought to reconsider not...you know...going with a large volume sale with 1 customer, and we can always make more mulch down the road. I know Lee likes to keep the budget balanced, and this would most definitely help out. I would just suggest that we get somebody that would like a large volume. Right now we’ve got 300 yards left over...this might be a potential way to balance the budget a lot quicker.”

Mr. Brugger interjected, “If I may add (just to your point, Larry)—the expense is not in the budget—we also don’t allow for any revenue in the budget...so just because it’s

not in the budget...we also don't account for the revenue, so it's kind of a trade out there...and as far as the large volume (again)...history is history...last year, we felt we didn't want to take the risk of depleting the supply...that if local demand would come in and want it, we don't have it...so...we've learned a little bit over the last few months, so hopefully this is an opportunity for us to try to balance that...and be ready if somebody does want a big slug of it, we can provide it for them."

"My point was—if we had an opportunity to get rid of a lot of it, that would be income," said Mr. Lokai. "Take that into consideration—do whatever you want to do, but I'm just throwing that out there. Thank you."

Mr. Thorpe said, "I think when you advertise it out, you'll find that...I talked with the City of Englewood...they have 1 landscaper that takes it all."

He also discussed the cost of grinding machines versus rental of grinders.

Mr. Hoffman asked the price of a truckload of mulch.

Mr. Hall said, "Within the city limits--\$15. a yard (loaded)—outside the city limits, \$20."

3. Mr. Evans moved to authorize a purchase order to East Lawn & Garden Center of Urbana, in the amount of \$9,998 for the purchase of 2 Cub Cadet M-72KW Tank Mowers (These will be charged to Parks/Recreation Capital, and are in the 2012 Budget for \$7,500); Mr. Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Council President Hess indicated that this item was discussed in detail at the recent Work Session.

Mr. Hoffman confirmed that this quote was the lowest & best.

4. Mr. Thorpe moved to authorize a purchase order to Koenig Equipment of Urbana in the amount of \$39,674 for the purchase of 1 John Deere 328D 2 speed skid steer, without trade-in. (This will be charged to Street Dept. Capital, and is in the 2012 Budget for \$35,000); Mr. Fields seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

Mr. Colin Stein explained the reason for purchasing this item, and noting the existing single-speed skid steer will be transferred to Parks/Recreation.

"We're looking for a little bigger unit than what we had, and we just need something that's not going to fail us," he said.

"Do the attachments interchange?" asked Mr. Fields.

"Yes," Mr. Stein said.

Mr. Brugger commented, "We believe (obviously) with the existing...the current single speed unit that's going to the Parks...the trailer would go with that unit, so we can take it and use it at the Cemetery...move it around the Park...site to site. So we will be coming back (once Colin gets all the final dimensions), and look for another (a little bigger trailer) to put the new skid steer on. I just wanted to make sure there wasn't any surprises, as that comes back..."

Council President Hess asked, "Colin—those are expensive to work on—what kind of shape is it in? (The one we're keeping--I know it's got 4,500 hours...but..."

"Yeah, it will do what Chris (Stokes) needs it to do—you probably can't buy one for that price to do what he needs to do," answered Mr. Stein. "With the hammer—you get a little extra strain on that pump...but he should get plenty of hours out of it. It's been maintained, but when you have a hammer on there (and other attachments), it

will put a strain on that...”

5. Mr. Lokai moved to authorize 2 purchase orders totaling \$125,227 for the purchase of an International cab/chassis and truck package. (One purchase order will be issued to Miami Valley International Trucks of Cincinnati, Ohio in the amount of \$83,560 for the purchase of (1) 2013, Model 7400 SFA 4x2, replacing Unit #11 – without trade-in. The other purchase order will be issued to The Geldhill Road Machinery Co., for the purchase of 1 stainless steel dump body with the snow & ice removal package, in the amount of \$41,667. This expenditure will be charged to Street Dept. Capital, and is in the 2012 Budget - \$115,000.); Mr. Thorpe seconded. Motion carried without objection.

Discussion –

“We’re purchasing a new unit—my number 11 went down just after the first of the year...as opposed to putting the money in it to get it fixed, I thought we should wait...because I have a truck in the budget...I thought we’d go ahead, and replace it then,” stated Mr. Stein. “Number 11—the dump body is stainless steel, so we’ll be taking it off and putting it on another truck (whichever one is in worse shape as far as the bed is concerned), we’ll move that one over. We’ll take any parts that we can possibly use on any other trucks, and get those off...and then scrap the rest of it.”

Mr. Evans expressed appreciation for the very complete explanation that was included in this Board of Control item.

Mr. Crabill indicated that the explanation was a prelude to a motion that is requested of Council to waive the competitive bid requirement.

Mr. Paul asked, “If it was already in the budget at \$115,000., and we’re coming in at \$125,000—okay, so we’re ten short there—we’re going to scrap part of it, right? So if we scrap it, we’re going to get money back from that...where is that money going to go? Is that going to go back into Street Dept. budget?”

“That would go back into Capital...wherever the expense is coming from,” Mr. Williams answered.

Mr. Paul asked, “Okay—so are we really ten short on this?”

“We don’t know what we’re going to be short yet,” replied Mr. Williams. “We could be ten, we could be nine, we could be five...”

Mr. Stein added, “Stainless steel prices are fluctuating wildly...so it could be less, it could be a little more...it also includes a 7 year 100,000 mile warranty on the body and the chassis which is, I think pretty much unheard of for a commercial driven truck...so that was a few extra dollars, but we really felt that... because we keep our trucks for such a long period of time that it was well worth that warranty...”

“That’s about five grand to add to that warranty, and that warranty is only available through this ODOT contract,” Mr. Crabill added.

“So are we going to start running into problems, because this is the 2nd or 3rd item that we’ve already approved where we budgeted a certain amount...and we’re spending more than...?” asked Mr. Paul.

“Not at this point in the year—just 4 months, and 8 to go—no, not this early in the year,” answered Mr. Williams.

Mr. Thorpe asked, “Colin—do you still have everything you need to put the snow plow on this new one?”

Mr. Stein replied, "It will come with a new snow plow and spreader."

"A snow plow, AND a spreader comes with it?!" Mr. Thorpe questioned.

"Yes--Everything will be new, and then...if we can't reuse the plow...we may be able to switch the plow from one truck to another..." said Mr. Stein. "We'll use what we can!"

"It has everything we need in the truck—lights—everything!" Mr. Crabill interjected.

"It will be ready plow snow when we get it," Mr. Stein said.

Mr. Hoffman (to Mr. Crabill) said, "The state bid would probably (almost 100%) be better than doing a local type of competitive bid based on the large volume in the state, or...?"

Mr. Crabill replied, "The truck that sells...I think really both...the truck (they said that there are 700 throughout the state that are purchased on this contract in a year)...and if you look at what the value of the truck is (and what the discount is, by using this ODOT contract)...it's like \$44,000 off the list price...we could do our own, but I don't think we'd get as good a numbers, and the ODOT warranty is definitely a good warranty."

Mr. Stein pointed to the support of having ODOT 'behind this,' in getting things taken care of.

Mr. Brugger stated, "One last piece, I believe...this truck will be built near (in Springfield), 8 miles away...a lot of folks in the area do work in the Springfield Facility, so we're kind of spreading the wealth (if you will) locally...across that organization...so..."

"Does this warranty cover just power train?" asked Mr. Thorpe.

Mr. Stein replied, "Well, it's not going to cover the body and such (that's a different company)...the only thing that's not covered is the Allison Transmission, it has a 3 year warranty on the Allison Transmission. Everything else—the wire harnesses and the engine...all that other stuff...except to wear items (like brakes)...windshield wipers are not, but everything else is covered under warranty...we'll probably never hit the 100,000 mile part of it, we'll probably hit the 7 year first."

Council President Hess called for Council acceptance of this BOC item.

"Mr. Hess—can you waive the competitive bid requirement first?" asked Mr. Crabill.

"Oh okay—I guess we need a motion to waive the competitive bid process," Council President Hess said.

"So moved," said Mr. Paul.

"Second," Mr. Fields said.

"You can't do that—you've already got a motion on the floor—we can amend it though," Mr. Lokai stated.

"We can amend it," Council President Hess repeated.

"We just need to make sure we have that in our..." Mr. Crabill began.

"Can we do it afterwards?" asked Council President Hess.

"We can amend it," Mr. Lokai said (in the background).

"Can we do it afterwards?" Council President Hess repeated.

"However you want to do it—we just need to have it," Mr. Crabill said.

At this point Council accepted the BOC item.

Council President Hess then revisited the motion made by Mr. Paul to waive the competitive bid process (seconded by Mr. Fields), and Mr. Crabill briefly explained the purpose of waiving. Council unanimously voiced acceptance.

Citizen Comments

There were no citizens who came forward.

Ordinances and Resolutions

Third Reading –

ORDINANCE NO. 4384 –

Mr. Lokai moved to place ORDINANCE NO. 4384 on the business floor for discussion and passage; Mr. Hoffman seconded.

Roll call vote to approve: Mrs. Smith, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes; Mr. Thorpe, yes; Mr. Hoffman, yes; Mr. Fields, yes; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, yes (7-0)

Discussion –

Mr. Paul asked if this Ordinance is ‘strictly for the Bike Path.’

Police Chief Matt Lingrell replied affirmatively.

Second Reading –

There were no Second Readings at this Meeting.

First Reading –

RESOLUTION NO. 2363 –

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MAKING APPLICATION TO THE OHIO DEPT. OF DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

(*Read and declared a First Reading)

Discussion –

Mr. Crabill explained:

“This is a Resolution to apply for the city’s yearly allocation of CDBG dollars that we receive from the state by applying...that comes from HUD (it’s Federal money).

Somewhere close to the Third Reading, we may end up having to table it on the Third Reading...and have the Public Hearing in early June, or even late May. We’re required to have a Public Hearing. We’ll go over what the proposed projects are at that time. We did find out about 2 weeks ago, what our allocation or this year is (\$51,000). I think...last year, if you remember me talking about the cuts of CDBG funding at the Federal level...we’re really beginning to feel this...I believe we were at \$64,000 in 2010, last year we were at \$59,000...this ’13 program is \$51,000. If we go any lower, we will actually become part of the county’s program...and we’ll become what they call a ‘acquired city.’ We’d still get our funds, but we would have to go to the county. If we drop below \$30,000., we would lose the ability to get funds unless we go and compete with the villages or townships...so hopefully, the program does not continue to get gutted at the Federal level!”

Mr. Crabill noted he read where the Senate will increase funding again.

“But we don’t know what’s going to happen in the House!” he added.

Mr. Crabill said the Public Hearing will be announced (as published) at least 10 days prior. The application is due June 22, 2012, and potential projects in the community should be submitted to Mr. Crabill by May 1, 2012.

Mr. Evans (to Mr. Crabill) asked, “At a meeting (I believe it was Mr. Lokai) brought up a question about the agency here in the city that’s (although it’s a county agency) serves a lot of city residents...and (I guess) my question was...since it’s a county agency, can they asked for monies granted to the city...or do they need to stay with the county agency?”

“I believe they can apply to both,” Mr. Crabill answered. “I’ve spoken with Mr. Ledford (this last week), as well as 2 other potential parties interested in funding. All look like potential projects to fund. Historically though—the city has not funded projects outside of what our internal needs are (either infrastructure, or ADA), so I’m not sure when we get to the point of selecting projects...I think the city still has needs of its own that we could use this money for, so they could certainly apply...and we could look at each one on a case-by-case basis, and determine if we could fund it (or not). We’re only allowed to do a maximum of 2 activities with this money, and (honestly) it’s easiest to have 1 activity...because you’re required to do an environmental review...you’re required to do legal advertising contracts. It’s a lot of administrative work...so we’re only allowed to do 2 activities...preferably we’d like to do 1, and that’s (kind of historically) what we’ve done.”

“I just wanted to say that I did talk to Mr. Ledford also...and the majority of residents that are served by that service are city residents,” stated Mr. Evans.

Mr. Crabill added, “The other part of that is—in going to the training last week for this), we’ll also have to look at what their budgets have been in previous years (and how they’re funded)...because that also could determine, if it could be funded (or not).”

“So he should just go ahead, and apply...and see what happens?” asked Mr. Evans.

Mr. Crabill replied, “Yes! I spoke to him (might’ve been yesterday).”

Mr. Paul requested ‘examples of where this money has gone in the past.’

Noted were: accessibility projects (the chairlift installation at the City Building), ADA bathrooms at The Depot, accessibility only in public buildings, ADA bathrooms at The Armory, and neighborhood handicapped curb ramps.

Mr. Crabill said more curb ramps are needed, and added, “The current program (the FY11 that we’re administering now), we did street resurfacing...but we had to qualify that by low to moderate income. It’s a pretty broad program as to what it can fund, but then there are certain requirements.”

Mr. Lokai said (to Mr. Crabill):

“To kind of spin off on what Al said there—I know that I’ve talked with Mr. Ledford on several occasions—and I think one of the things we need to take a look at is (when we distribute this money to organizations, or whoever) that we take a look at the number of people it serves. I know—some large projects in town are looking at this possibility of getting some of this money, but I think what we’ve got to look at is how many people this money would fund (and how many people it would serve)...as opposed to maybe 1 person (an entrepreneur, so to speak) getting a lot of this money...so I think that, and I’ll talk to you about it later...you, and Al, and myself have been talking to the same individual...”

RESOLUTION NO. 2364 –

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY OF URBANA, TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT APPLICATION TO

PARTICIPATE IN THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED
(*Read and declared a First Reading)

Discussion –

“This is the yearly FAA funding that the City can receive for the Airport by applying each year,” said Mr. Crabill, also pointing to a handout (*Airport Capital Improvement Program FY2012 through 2026*).

He referred to slight modifications made to the plan (since it was submitted to the FAA last year). Mr. Crabill also announced that RESOLUTION NO. 2367 is being added to the Meeting Agenda.

Mr. Crabill stated:

“In talking with the Airport Consultant yesterday—we would like to try to apply for the ODOT Aviation Grant (that we applied for last year), for some of the paving work at the Airport...and that is due May 1st...and what that would do is (if you would look at your Capital Improvement Sheet) #2, Rehabilitation of Taxiway B...we would potentially be able to fund that project with the ODOT Grant (and not the FAA Grant), which would then allow money to be able to be carried forward to put towards the terminal improvements at the Airport sooner.”

He noted the Runway Extension has been moved down the priority list, and elaborated on the reason (involving a certain type of aircraft, and the number of times the aircraft would utilize the runway). Mr. Crabill explained plans for the grant(s) usage, and said RESOLUTION NO. 2367 should be passed at this Meeting, as the application is due May 1st.

Mr. Paul asked, “Do you know (off hand) how much the FAA Grant was last year?”

“It’s approximately \$150,000 a year from the FAA, and it’s a 90/20 grant...it used to be 95/5, and when the Feds reappropriated the money recently for the program it changed to 90/10,” answered Mr. Crabill.

“So it’s 90/10,” Mr. Paul sought to verify.

Mr. Crabill replied, “Yeah—90/10—both...and the ODOT one is that way as well (it’s 90/10).”

“...and it’s been about one-fifty pretty consistently?” asked Mr. Paul.

“Yes—yeah, it’s essentially money that we’re entitled to yearly from the FAA...” said Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Thorpe asked, “Land acquisition—you’ve got \$100,000 there—do you have something worked out?”

“No—no—this is just a snapshot of time, as far as budget goes (future projects),” said Mr. Crabill.

RESOLUTION NO. 2365 –

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE OHIO DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PAVEMENT PLANING AND RESURFACING OF THE EXISTING U.S. ROUTE 68 ROADWAY (PID #82454) AND TO AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE TO APPROPRIATE \$72,820 TOWARD SAID PROJECT, AND THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION TO SIGN ANY CONTRACTS OR OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO SAID PROJECT

(*Read and declared a First Reading)

Discussion –

Mr. Crabill explained:

“This is our 2nd ODOT paving project for the year—this is 68...Reynolds to Laurel Oak minus The Square...minus the first block of No.& So. Main. We did The Square 2 years ago.”

“So about 4 blocks?” asked Council President Hess.

“Well--The Square, and a block either way,” Mr. Crabill said. “This was in the budget for \$55,000—one reason I think we missed that is, our budget was based on construction cost...we also have engineering costs that we have to pay ODOT.”

Mr. Crabill indicated that this is not due back until early June.

Mr. Evans asked, “Are they going to rework the tracks? Put new material in there?”

“The tracks are not...the actual surface there is...the Railroad’s property...so I think the surface up to the tracks would be redone (that rough spot would be gone),” Mr. Crabill said.

“I’m sorry—you said that--it’s in the budget, but for a lesser amount?” asked Mr. Paul. “\$55,000,” answered Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Hoffman said, “This is an estimate that shows that we bear 100%, so any overages we take care of it’s assumed?”

Mr. Crabill answered:

“Yeah—what they do is they give a...ODOT gives a preliminary cost to what they think it will be...it’s usually a lot higher, and then if we go over what ODOT has available to fund it...I mean, they’re doing all the bidding, so they would have to come to ask for additional funds...but basically it’s 80/20. It seems to all work out—80/20—because their estimates are usually higher. Actually on State Route 55—we got \$14,000 back after the job was done. We have not gotten Oakland St. back, but I’m assuming we’ll get something back. It could take up to a year to get our money back (if it comes in under).”

RESOLUTION NO. 2366 –

A RESOLUTION OF URBANA CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY OF URBANA TO EXTEND THE CURRENT RECYCLING COLLECTION CONTRACT FOR 90 ADDITIONAL DAYS, AND TO CONDUCT A PUBLIC BID PROCESS FOR A NEW RECYCLING COLLECTION CONTRACT

(*Read and declared a First Reading)

Discussion –

Mr. Crabill said this Resolution can have a Second Reading.

“We would like to extend the current contract by the end of May,” said Mr. Crabill.

Mr. Fields asked, “Are they willing to extend it?”

“Yes—yes,” Mr. Crabill replied.

Mr. Brugger interjected, “The extension that we’re currently operating on is a 1 year extension, and we asked if we could get like 30 day increments...so we could kind of keep it a little tighter, but with the fact that we only had the 1 year extension they asked for 90 days just to make sure that we had plenty of time so...that’s the reason we’re asking for the 90 day extension, to get it beyond the end of May.”

“No new terms? Same terms?” asked Mr. Evans.

“Same contract—yeah, we’d just extend the date...so it would expire August 31st, instead of May 31st,” Mr. Crabill responded. “...and the goal would be to have a new contract in place to start September 1...and if you look at the calendar, I think

the...August 31st (I think) falls on a Thursday, so they would finish the service on that date... and the next week, the new contract would start.”

Mr. Lokai remarked, “I think this is a very good move...it appears (if this Resolution passes), we’ll probably be discussing this in June or July...and it passes in August then, right?”

“Ummm hmmm,” Mr. Crabill replied. “There would be time to...you have 60 days to award from the time you open bids...but...so you’ll be sometime in the Summer.”

Mr. Thorpe asked whether the bid process has begun yet, and Mr. Crabill noted that when this Resolution is passed the bid process can commence.

Mr. Brugger stated:

“This has nothing to do with the extension, but this has a lot to do with the recycling contract—the last meeting, there was discussion that we have spent \$75,000 on tubs/containers. I’d like to just make sure it’s clear that we go back and research that...and the initial...applied to 4,500 18 gallon tubs was paid (in its entirety) by the Solid Waste District, at a cost of \$29,306. The only money that the City of Urbana has spent was late last Fall—I think it was November—when we had to go buy some more tubs to put in reserve...so there has not been \$75,000 spent by any entity with the program. The initial tubs were paid by the Solid Waste District, and we just bought some more last Fall to have in reserve—just for clarity.”

“I’d like to speak to that,” Mr. Lokai said.

“Nope,” said Council President Hess. “We’ll talk about it—we’ve got 3 months.”

Mr. Lokai again attempted to speak.

“Mr. Lokai—you want to start it tonight?” asked Council President Hess.

“Pardon?” asked Mr. Lokai.

“You want to start it tonight?” Council President Hess repeated.

“Start what?” asked Mr. Lokai.

“I said—we’ll talk about this later—we’ve got 3 months,” Council President Hess said.

RESOLUTION NO. 2367 –

A RESOLUTION ENACTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF URBANA, CHAMPAIGN COUNTY, OHIO, TO AUTHORIZE MAKING APPLICATION TO THE DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION, DIVISION OF AVIATION UNDER THE FY13 OHIO AIRPORT GRANT PROGRAM

Mr. Crabill (requesting passage) said, “Application will be due next Tuesday. This grant would be...\$117,000 would be funded by ODOT, and \$13,000 would be local funding (a 90/10 breakdown). This is the rehabilitation of Taxiway B...”

Mr. Lokai moved to suspend the rules on three readings; Mr. Fields seconded.

Roll call vote to approve suspension: Mr. Lokai, yes; Mr. Thorpe, yes; Mr. Hoffman, yes; Mr. Fields, yes; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, yes; Mrs. Smith, yes (7-0)

Mr. Lokai moved to place RESOLUTION NO. 2367 on the business floor for discussion and passage; Mr. Hoffman seconded.

Roll call vote to approve passage: Mr. Thorpe, yes; Mr. Hoffman, yes; Mr. Fields, yes; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, yes; Mrs. Smith, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes (7-0)

Miscellaneous Business

Mr. Chris Stokes - Announced Sunday, April 29th, the Swim Team is conducting a 5K Run & Fun Walk at the Park
Baseball/Softball ‘Opening Day’ will be May 5th, he said

- Fire Chief Mark Keller – Nothing at this time
- Mr. Stein - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Crabill - Announced the completion of the chairlift project on Wednesday; Said it will not be operational for ‘about a week,’ due to the need for prior inspections
- Mr. Chad Hall - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Williams - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Brugger - Provided an update on the So. 68 Water Extension
Provided an update on the Julia St./W. Ward Box Culvert
Recognized numbers of staff, volunteers, and project planners for their involvement with the B25s Event
- Mayor Bill Bean - Again thanked Jim White/Dave Milner and the B25s Committee, The Shiffer Family, and the City of Bellefontaine Fire Dept. for the use of their ladder truck (to create the arch to welcome the Raiders collectively with the Urbana Fire Div.)
Spoke of plans for other activities in the works
Expressed pride in the city
- Mr. Fields - Nothing at this time
- Mr. Evans - Thanked the Mayor & Staff for all of the work involved with the B25s Project
Complimented Mr. Brugger on his quick handling of a problem
Questioned whether there has been resolution of a problem brought up by a resident from So. Main, last Fall
Mr. Brugger said there have been several meetings about that property, and others; Remediation issues & other issues are being addressed/revisited
- Mr. Paul - Nothing at this time
- Mrs. Virginia Smith - Asked particulars about the larger paper recycling bins
- Mr. Lokai - Complimented everyone’s work on the B25s Project, and the PR

Said, “If you look in the Minutes (addressing this to our Administrator) that I did say, ‘We’....and the ‘We’ refers to Solid Waste...and this is in the Minutes... ‘The \$75,000 was supplied by the Solid Waste District’...and I did say that. I think the only investment the City had in that was the \$3,000 in the tubs...am I correct, Lee?”

“No—about \$3,500, when we bought the replacement tubs (yeah, to replace the inventory),” Mr. Williams answered.

“The \$75,000 (if you look at the Minutes) it did said say in brackets, ‘The Solid Waste District’...” Mr. Lokai said.

Noted he distributed a list to several key persons regarding, ‘Affected Households May 2011 – Basement Water Issues’

He said residents are pleading for assistance, and cited examples of resident concerns “I know we’re working on it—but I think the people in the 4th Ward are getting a little disgruntled,” Mr. Lokai stated.

Said, “I understood everything that was said at the beginning of the meeting—I’ve also served as president of a couple organizations. I’m well aware

of Parliamentary Procedure—however, in Parliamentary Procedure there is one goal that stops anybody from railroading the meeting...and it's called, 'Appeal the Decision of the Chair'...and what that does...if the Chairman is ramrodding (or railroading) something through, any member of this Council may appeal that decision...and that decision rests with the members of this (7) Council...so we are allowed to challenge a decision (according to 'Robert's Rules of Order') that's made by the Chairman. I just want to point that out. I'm well aware of the rules of Council, but we also follow 'Robert's Rules of Order.'"

- Mr. Thorpe - Reflected on Mr. Lokai's statement, and said he would 'give it a little more thought
Said, "The statement that you just made (Mr. Lokai) has a little bit of clout to it...so...we'll talk about that at another time."
- Mr. Hoffman - Mentioned a school field trip he attended with kindergartners; Complimented Police Div., Fire Div., the YMCA, the Library, and the Park

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Evans moved to adjourn to Executive Session (approximately 8:20PM) to discuss "Personnel"; Mr. Fields seconded.

Roll call vote to approve: Mr. Hoffman, yes; Mr. Fields, yes; Mr. Evans, yes; Mr. Paul, yes; Mrs. Smith, yes; Mr. Lokai, yes; Mr. Thorpe, yes (7-0)

ADJOURNMENT

Following the brief Executive Session, Mr. Thorpe moved to reconvene to Regular Session and adjourn; Mr. Fields seconded. All were in favor of adjournment.

Council Clerk

Council President